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<td>ORSP/Sponsored Programs</td>
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</tr>
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<td>Ford School</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</table>

1. **Introductions of Members and Guests** *(Daryl Weinert)* [3:00 – 3:05]
   
   Daryl welcomed everyone and the group went around the room for introductions. Daryl thanked the College of LSA for hosting.

   
   Heather provided the Process committee update. There are two new members - Linda Forsyth and Teresa Herrick.

   The RAAC Process group reports through RAAC Executive Committee to RAAC Committee-At-Large. There are also task forces, focus groups and work groups to handle special tasks. There are champions assigned to the special task force/work groups and report back to Process subcommittee.

   **Projects In Progress:**

   1. **Roles & Responsibilities/Risks in Research Administration Process**

      **Champions**: Stephanie Ford, Brian VanSickle, Terri Maxwell
Project is in Phase 3 (almost complete):

- Through the notice of award, who is responsible for review of agreement acceptance, and award set-up.
- Cash Management and financial reporting.

Reviewed by RAAC Executive Committee and requested some changes. Will present once completed.

Next steps:
- Defining Phase IV (non-financial post award) and Phase V (close out).

2. Tracking Cost Share

Champions: Heather Offhaus

There were significant questions around cost share for the implementation of the Award Management System.

The group worked on definitions, data use, and implications of cost sharing. Working on what PAF should look like. Turning over to ITS and next release will include changes. Craig made a new chart of different types of cost sharing. New section is pulling out U-M Commitments that need to keep internal tracking (Internal UM Agreements). ORSP website will be updated to include changes. Rackham cost sharing on training grants requires tracking.

Cathy Handyside went over the changes in eResearch. Changes will be coming in a couple of weeks. Each section of cost sharing in the Budget section is changed. The Budget Components page related to cost share will now have four sections. There will be training materials and other communications on changes. Definitions have been updated and very helpful to explain what is in each section.

- UM Cost Sharing section stays the same and definition is added at top to clarify.
- UM Other Commitments - page staying same, but definition added to top.
- “New” Internal UM Agreement - There is an explanation of what it includes. It will be visible and in the data warehouse.

Steve asked if Rackham is using this section. Will there be a dollar amount for shortfall? Heather answered that they will be using it, but not sure what their exact process will be.

Jane followed up by asking about the situation where Rackham shortfall coverage is conveyed to the sponsor. Shouldn’t this be listed in the U-M Other Commitments category? Craig concurred that anything “sponsor-facing” should fall into either U-M Cost Sharing or U-M Other Commitments.

In the Non-UM Cost Sharing Section, Cathy highlighted that the description field has been converted to a table. Can put internal entity, and can notify of attachments. If there was a previous description, it will be converted to table (first row) and include any dollar amounts.

Beth asked whether those items in current PAFs which will be converted to the table can be updated.
Cathy answered that if the PAF in a state where it can be edited, you can edit. Also if a PAF is sent back to the study team, they may be required to fill out this section in order to re-route the PAF.

Benefits of using the new cost share functionality:

- Clearer definitions, better language.
- Clarity on which fields will always require tracking by ORSP & Sponsored Programs.
- Clarity on multiple third party contributions.
- Searchable inter-unit (or own unit) commitments.

Next Steps for Cost Share group:

- Hand off ideas to RAAC Communications for website expansion.
- Hand off ideas for RAAC Training opportunities.
- Define/outline two (2) needed business processes to help Research Administrators:
  1. Handling of cost share commitments on projects with required match.
  2. Handling of cost share commitment when there is a reduction in award.

The Process subcommittee had a “refocus” and identify projects that are on the radar.

**Automation of OCA Activities** - bring into same electronic solutions as other systems.

**Champion:** Victoria Devulder

Items requested from survey:

- Invoice review & approval.
- Process flows for chances.
- Templates/checklists for subcontracts.

**Project Financial Review & Forecasting** - financial review and forecasting tools to help reduce administrative work.

**Champion:** Melissa Karby

- First round will be “wish list” collection.
- Additional needs for Investigator-use management reports.
- Requirements for Unit / Administrator / data information.
- Common elements of shadow system need to be surfaced.
- Potential development resources needed outside of RAAC.

**Budget Template Suite** - tools needed/potential impact to cross-campus financial discussions.

**Champion:** Kerri Cross

- Develop target wish list on what a “suite” of resources for Research Administrators might contain.
- Identify existing templates.
- Evaluate unit templates as well as budget templates used in Navigate training courses.
- Suggest where this would live and who would be responsible for maintenance.

**Internal Funding** - Tracking - help needed to track & identify where faculty have funding.

**Champion:** Danielle Smith

- Phase I investigation into how to best store and report non-sponsored funding.
● Gather stakeholder needs.
● Evaluate any current systems for applicability.
● Make next-step recommendations after defining the need.

**Close Out** - included close-out checklist and there are new phases that need work.

**Champion:** need Champion!

● Looking for group to meet and move forward the recommendations.
● With OCA project, most defined steps.

Process Committee continue to respond to:

● Changes to electronic systems as proposed/handled for implementation by ITS.
● As requested, with comment on ORSP/ Sponsored Program initiatives.

Looking for volunteers for new initiatives. Heather will send out a description on each initiative and how much time will be needed.

Constance also asked group for any updated FAQs for the website. Send to Becky, Constance or Chris.

3. **Extended ITS Update: eRPM September Release and Award Management**  
   *(Cathy Handyside / Carolyn Pappas)*

**Updates:**

eRPM System Update - 10/2/17

● Date changed from 9/25/17 - there was a problem with Agreement Acceptance and needed to fix before release.
● PAF Cost share functionality changes.
● PAF Access for Department Administrators (Reviewer/Approvers).
● Agreement Acceptance Request Updates - approve little glitches.
● New CTSU Questions on PAF.

PAF Access for Department Administrators:

● Department Administrators will now have access to view all PAFs in eRPM.
● New “PAF Query” option added to top navigation bar allows for searching across all PAFs. Includes a lot of areas to search (including PAF ID and title). Also have ability to filter (on status).
● Your department PAFs can be accessed via new tab “All My PAFs” in Reviewer Workspace.
● All My PAFs tab added in PI & Project Team Workspace to view all PAFs where you are included as part of UM Investigators or Administration personnel.

Q: Is there a way to export reports?
A: Only through Business Objects. Not intended to be handled in eRPM.

Agreement Acceptance Requests:

● Created by ORSP for awards that require additional approvals (e.g., certain requirements, F&A changes, etc.).
● Consistent workflow for standard requests (ORSP, PT, PI, Unit Review, ORSP, UMOR).
● “Other” request type now has customizable routing. ORSP will select the approvals needed.
● Added Status Map - shows only stops needed required for routing.
● Project Team and PI Review are now separate states.
• Updated Project Workspace for clarity.
• Use “Edit Agreement Acceptance Request” to provide required information and/or attach documentation.
• Single state for Unit Review.
• New Unit Approve activity modeled after PAF activity.

Craig stated on Project Team preparation for publication restriction for UMOR approval the comment box will require document upload with project team template to evaluate the publication. The Chair/Dean do not need to upload but just approve. Will be more streamlined process. You need to identify number of students.

New CTSU Questions on PAF - On Human Subjects section:

• New questions display on Research Activity Page if Human Subjects is “yes.”
• New 4th question - if project will include one of the CTSU units. If yes, a question will ask which CTSU unit.

Q: Becky asked if there are help text for description of what is included.  
A: Text needs updating. Will run by Committee for new description.

Q: What about co-PIs?  
A: Depends on who is running trial component.

NIH FORMS-E - doesn't happen until January 25 and beyond.

• New forms from NIH. Will apply to proposal deadlines starting January 25, 2018 and later.
• NIH will start posting updated Opportunity Announcements (FOAs) around October 25, 2017 but cannot be submitted until after January 25, 2018.
• New forms expected from eResearch vendor end of October 2017, and available in eRPM in late November 2017.

Award Management - Hit a tiny roadblock on how we wanted process to fit into design software from vendor - it did not work with the U-M process. Looking at how we can make all our requirements fit to best possible process. April deadline is in jeopardy. Should have timeline update by late October 2017.

4. ORSP Update: (Craig Reynolds) [4:05 – 4:15]

Forms E - NIH has expanded the definition of what is a Clinical Trial. It now includes behavioral interventions. New people will need to be working with this section. There will be communications going out soon to communicate changes. NIH has good video on all changes.

Workspace is a new Grants.gov tool replacing Adobe PDF packages. Requires PIs to register with grants.gov to get unique accounts. Only time to use workspace if there is a form set not supported by eResearch. You can still use PDF packages. Only use Workspace when required. Communication will go out to clarify to faculty.

Hiring:

• Project Representatives - Hiring three (3) new PRs: Government team, Private Sponsors team, and replacement of former employee.
Training Specialist - Neil Carver will start October 2, 2017. Position will focus training/policies for ORSP staff.
Replacing Data Reporting Assistant - reports to Laura Dickey.

Deadline Policy:

The policy is now a two-tier policy: 4 days and 2 days. If proposal received in ORSP 4 days prior to sponsor deadline it will get a full review. If proposal received two-days prior to sponsor deadline, you get a limited institutional review for compliance. We will submit what is given to ORSP (as long as compliant) with error(s). You can get a one-day extension for circumstances beyond control.

Will work out the implementations of policy and will communicate January 2018 with announcement. Soft launch will be September 1, 2018, and go live July, 2019.

Jack will present to Provost on October 17, 2017 and may have updates.

5. **Sponsored Programs Update:** *(Debbie Talley)*

Single Audit - Working on now and no bad news. Debbie indicated anyone with projects selected for single audit should have received notification. Got some feedback from auditors on areas related to equipment, and they will continue to focus on equipment. Debbie reminded that disposals need to be handled properly, and inventory (get them back in a timely manner).

Debbie also reminded Sponsored Programs is also entering the busy season, and to work with reporting area to get back on time.

The October RAN Meeting’s featured presentation will cover Facilities & Administration (F&A) rates, and Dennis Poszywak from Contract Administration and Procurement will be talking about the differences of Subcontracts, Hybrids and P.O.s.

6. **Closing and Future Meetings:** *(Daryl Weinert)*

- Review list of 2018 RAAC EC and CAL meetings (note May 2018 change)

October 17 meeting will be at School of Education with Judy Carrillo providing Training subcommittee update. November 21 meeting will be at Ford School of Public Policy with Becky O’Brien providing Communications subcommittee update.

The 2018 meeting schedule was distributed. There will not be a May 2018 meeting due to various conflicts and moved to July 17, 2018.

Daryl thanked everyone for their participation.
● Tuesday, October 10, 2017, 3:30 - 5:00 pm (Becky O’Brien, RAAC Communications Subcommittee)
● Tuesday, November 14, 2017, 3:30 - 5:00 pm (Chris Allan, RAAC Metrics Subcommittee)
● Monday, November 27, 2017, 3:00 - 4:30 pm (joint RAAC EC and Faculty Advisory Council - 2001 LSA Building)
● Tuesday, December 12, 2017, 3:30 - 5:00 pm (Heather Offhaus, RAAC Process Subcommittee)
Update to RAAC

Process Subcommittee

September 2017
March 2017
September 2016
February 2016
July 2015
February 2015

Members

Brant, Beth  Medical School
Cristiano, John  UM-Dearborn
Cross, Kerri  ISR
DeVries, Chris  ORSP & Sponsored Programs
Devulder, Victoria  Pharmacy
Forsyth, Linda  College of Engineering
Herrick, Teresa  Ross School of Business
Karby, Melissa  Dental
Maxwell, Terri  ORSP
Nielsen, Tony  ORSP
Offhaus, Heather  Medical School
Pappas, Carolyn  ITS
Smith, Danielle  LSI
Thomson, Anne  LSA
VanSickle, Bryan  Sponsored Programs
Process meetings aren’t work product meetings for initiatives that have Champions.

Champions work with volunteer taskforces / workgroups to think tank process and...

The Process committee serves as a sounding board.

Generally, we encourage Process members to be Champion of a project.
Each section is intended to be a high-level view into the current state of the project.

Please feel free to reach out to the Process chair (Heather) or any of the Champions listed for the projects with:
- Questions
- Concerns
- Ideas

Roles and Responsibilities/Risks in Research Admin Process

Champions: Stephanie Ford / Bryan VanSickle / Terri Maxwell
Status: Work Group completed – Process Team Finalizing Sending to EC for review / release Fall, 2017

Phase III
- Through the notice of award, review of agreement, acceptance, and award set-up
- Cash management and financial reporting

Next Steps:
- Process will then begin defining Phase IV (non-financial post award)
Tracking Cost Share

Champion: Heather Offhaus  
Status: Underway  
Need: For Award Management System, questions around cost share were significant

Ongoing conversation:
• Group worked on definitions, data use, and implications of cost sharing
• Updated PAF fields that need tracking  
  PAF → award → active project → close out  
• Identified areas to hand off to RAAC Communication & Training

Grid of Cost Share Types

- Underlying definitions focused on reporting requirements and sponsor expectations (Craig kudos!)
- Identified need for a new category on the PAF to keep definitions cleaner
  - UM Cost Share
  - UM Other Commitments
  - Internal UM Agreements
  - Non-UM Cost Sharing and Other Commitments
- RAAC Communications team tweaked website
3. Budget

3.1 Budget Components

Select the components relevant to the proposed project:

- **UM Cost Sharing**: UM cost sharing occurs when the University states in a proposal that it will provide a specific, quantified resource without asking for funding to cover the cost. Examples include: 10% of a faculty member's effort, $5,000 in research supplies, etc.

- **UM Other Commitments**: UM Other Commitments occur when the University states in a proposal that it will provide a resource to the project but does not quantify the item. Examples include: unspecified faculty effort beyond what is budgeted and accounted for in the proposal, running samples at no cost and without mentioning value, etc.

- **Internal UM Agreements**: Internal UM Agreements occur when one or more unit(s) of UM make(s) a commitment to provide a resource if the project is funded, but the arrangement is not referenced in the proposal and has not been shared with the sponsor. Examples include, if not mentioned in the proposal, provision of additional lab space, coverage of fringe benefits that the sponsor will not fund, etc.

- **Non-UM Cost Sharing and Other Commitments**: Non-UM Cost Sharing and Other Commitments occur when a proposal includes contributions from an entity external to UM (e.g., Third Party Commitments). The contributions may be quantified in the proposal or may be unspecified. Examples include: a local community organization's commitment of volunteer time, a corporation's donation of a $10,000 piece of equipment, etc.

---

UM Cost Sharing - Help change only

**3. Budget**

**3.1 UM Cost Sharing**

UM cost sharing occurs when the University states in a proposal that it will provide a specific, quantified resource without asking for funding to cover the cost. UM cost sharing occurs when the University states in a proposal that it will provide a specific, quantified resource without asking for funding to cover the cost. UM cost sharing occurs when the University states in a proposal that it will provide a specific, quantified resource without asking for funding to cover the cost. UM cost sharing occurs when the University states in a proposal that it will provide a specific, quantified resource without asking for funding to cover the cost.
3. Budget

Internal UM Agreements

Internal UM Agreements occur when one or more units of the University make(s) a commitment to provide a resource if the project is funded, but the arrangement is not referenced in the proposal and has not been shared with the sponsor.

3.7 Internal UM Agreement Details

Please enter Internal UM Agreement details.

For more information on budgets, see info provided by ORSP (Cost Sharing Requirements) and Finance (Budget Planning and Preparation).
Non-UM Cost Sharing & Other Commitments

- Updated to allow for multiple entries.
- Existing data will be copied into a single row in the new format.
- Additional rows can be added as necessary.
- Items marked “yes” to “Quantified?” allow for amount entries.

Benefits of using the new cost share functionality

- Clearer definitions
- Clarity on which fields will always require tracking by ORSP & Sponsored Programs
- Clarity on multiple third party contributions
- Searchable inter-unit (or own unit!) commitments
Next Steps: Cost Share Group

- Hand off ideas for the website expansion (definitions, FAQs, resources) to the Communication team
- Hand off ideas for training opportunities (topics needing attention, learning objectives, etc) to the Training team
- Define / Outline 2 needed business processes to help Research Administrators
  - Handling of cost share commitments on projects with required match (at time of award)
  - Handling of cost share commitments when there is a reduction in award

Season of Reboot and Refocus

Status: Several projects were in the last update

Next Steps: Have to get the word out to RAAC CAL to help tackle

Homework:
- Identify individuals for the following projects
- We may not be able to get all off the ground at the same time, so we will also ask for prioritization for your school
Automation of OCA Activities

Champion: Victoria Devulder
Status: Identified Needs

What we heard: Bring OCA Activities into same electronic solutions as other systems

We heard on survey:
• Invoice review & approval
• Process flows for changes
• Templates/check-lists for subcontracts

What has been done:
• Process has identified several areas and detail items to hand off to a working group

Project Financial Review & Forecasting

Champion: Melissa Karby
Status: Just Beginning

What we heard:
The survey indicated that any type of project financial review and forecasting tools would be welcome to help reduce administrative work.

Early conversation:
• First round will be “wish list” collection
• Additional needs for investigator-use management reports
• Requirements for Unit / Administrator / data information
• Common elements of shadow systems need to be surfaced
• Potential development resources needed outside of RAAC
**Process: Reporting / Forecasting**

- Investigator Specific Project
- Investigator Portfolio
- Department Financials
- School/College
- Institutional

**Budget Template Suite**

**Champion:** Kerri Cross  
**Status:** Just Beginning  
**What we heard:** Tools needed / potential impact to cross-campus financial discussions  
**Early conversation:**
- Develop target wish list on what a “suite” might contain  
- Identify existing templates  
- Evaluate unit templates as well as budget templates used in Navigate  
- Suggest where this would live and who would be responsible for maintenance
Internal Funding - Tracking

Champion: Danielle Smith
Status: Just Beginning

What we heard: Help needed to track & identify where faculty have funding to describe their total funding

Early conversation:
• Phase I Investigation into how to best store and report non-sponsored funding
• Gather stakeholder needs
• Evaluate any current systems for applicability
• Make next-step recommendations after defining the need

Close Out

Champion: TBD
Status: On hiatus

Last seen: Group met last year and created close out job aid documents as well as listed 5-6 next steps

In concept:
• A group would form and meet about moving forward the recommendations
• With OCA project, most defined steps
• Looking for a volunteer champion with energy!
RAAC Process will continue responding:

- To changes to electronic systems as proposed/handled for implementation by ITS
- As requested, with comment on ORSP / Sponsored Program initiatives

We need YOU!
(or someone you want to Voluntold...)

- We will be sending out “Volunteer Opportunities” for most of the previous slides
- Any RAAC CAL member can send great candidates our way – contact Heather / Chris
- Each group will be looking for folks with passion about the topic (& time available to discuss)
- Watch for the email within the next 2 weeks
Thank You!
See you in six months...
ITS Update
RAAC Committee-at-Large

Cathy Handyside, ITS Research Administration Systems
September 19, 2017

Agenda

• eRPM System Update – 10/2/17
  ● Date Changed from 9/25/17
  ● PAF Cost-Share Functionality Changes
  ● PAF Access for Department Administrators (Reviewer/Approvers)
  ● Agreement Acceptance Request Updates
  ● New CTSU Questions on PAF

• NIH FORMS-E
Department Administrators (Reviewer/Approvers) will now have access to view all PAFs in eRPM.

- New PAF Query option added to top navigation bar allows for searching across all PAFs.

### PAF Access for Department Administrators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAF ID</th>
<th>Project Grant</th>
<th>Short Code</th>
<th>Previous Project Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sponsor Information**
- Sponsor Information
- Sponsor Program Type
- Other Sponsor Program Type

**PI**
- PI Last Name
- PI First Name
- PI Unique Name

**Dept**
- Dept ID
- Dept Name

**PAF Sponsor**
- Sponsor Official Name

Only show PAFs that are:
- Show all PAFs (default)
- Pre-Submission (not yet submitted to sponsor)
- Submitted (Submitted to sponsor but not yet awarded)
- Awarded

- New PAF Query option allows for searching across all PAFs.
- Similar to Search function with additional options to search by PAF ID and Title.
- Option to limit search results based on project status.
PAF Access for Department Administrators

- New All My PAFs tab added in Reviewer Workspace to view PAFs related to department.

- New All My PAFs tab added in PI & Project Team Workspace to view all PAFs where you are included as part of UM Investigators or Administrative Personnel.
Agreement Acceptance Requests

- Created by ORSP for awards that require additional approvals
- Consistent workflow for standard requests
  - ORSP → PT → PI → Unit Review → ORSP → UMOR (If applicable)
- “Other” request type now has customizable routing
  - ORSP will select the approvals needed. Previously stopped with Project Team, PI, Department, School/College/Institute.

- Added Status Map
  - Shows only stops required for routing
  - Project Team and PI Review are now separate states
  - Updated Project Workspace
Use “Edit Agreement Acceptance Request” to provide required information and/or attach documentation.

- Single state for Unit Review.
- Workspace indicates units required to approve.
Agreement Acceptance Requests

- New 'Unit Approve' activity modeled after PAF activity

New CTSU questions on PAF

- New questions display on Research Activity Page if Human Subjects is "yes".

5.1.4
Has or will the project team coordinate with a Clinical Trial Support Unit (CTSU) in regards to this project?

- Yes
- No

5.1.4.1
Clinical Trial Support Unit:
- Acute, Critical Care, Surgery & Transplant
- Ambulatory & Chronic Disease
- Behavioral, Function & Pain
NIH FORMS-E

- NIH FORMS-E
  - New forms introduced by NIH
  - Will apply to proposal deadlines starting on January 25, 2018 and later.
- NIH will start posting updated FOAs around October 25 but they cannot be submitted until on or after January 25th
- New Forms expected from eResearch vendor end of October and available in eRPM in late November.